

**City of Buckley**  
**Planning Commission Minutes**  
**August 24, 2009**

**Chairperson Snellback called the meeting to order at 7:04 PM.**

The following members were present: Dorn, Howard, and McCorkle. Maas, Logan, and Panasiuk were absent. Also in attendance was Associate Planner Suraiya Rashid.

**McCorkle moved to approve the minutes of the July 20, 2009 commission meeting. Dorn seconded the motion and the motion carried.**

Howard stated he had a change on the bottom of page 1 where Mr. Sundstrom stated the State "can" efficiently move transport should actually be "cannot". **McCorkle moved to approve the minutes of the August 10, 2009 commission meeting with the change. Howard seconded the motion and the motion carried.**

**Comprehensive Plan Update Discussion**

**Parks and Recreation Element:** Does anyone have any final thoughts about this before we move it forward to Council. Rashid stated there are a couple things she needs to change and that is the page numbers need to be corrected. The other issue is there is a typo in Appendix F the RCO (Recreation and Conservation Organization) Standards Check that needs to be corrected. Snellback stated she still has concerns over the wildlife corridor mixing with the pedestrian corridor and she knows that Council member Montgomery is really pushing for this and because of his expertise, she feels this will go through with the Council. Rashid suggested that she submit something in writing voicing her concerns. Snellback stated she also has a professional that can submit information regarding this. Rashid stated that would be a good idea.

**Capital Facilities Element:** Rashid stated the Park Impact Fee will be included in this; also included will be the Fire Impact Fee. The Fire Impact fee is still being reviewed by the Fire Chief and Attorney. She doesn't know how much the Planning commission will get involved in this with making changes because everything is based on a study that has been done.

**Transportation Element:** Rashid stated we talked about taking out a couple tables and inserting the future street plan. Is everyone okay with the future street map? Dorn stated it is just a concept. Rashid stated it is just a concept but when properties develop

along those future roads, they would have to do the street improvements. Snellback asked about Spruce Street going to Ryan Road, will the land have to be condemned? Rashid stated although the future street map is laid out, it is not using engineered plots, in that way it is more of a concept. So some of the streets may veer off rather than go straight through and yes it will end up taking more land. Snellback asked if they will just take it or will they pay for it, she has neighbors that are concerned about this. Rashid stated it depends on what they are doing with their property. Rashid stated to subdivide you would have to dedicate the land to the city.

**Land Use Element:** Rashid stated on the Future Land Use map she described what each item in the key meant and she thinks it is apparent we need new names for the designation for the FLUM map so it is not getting confused with the zoning map and designations. She came up with combining the two commercial designations to one and the second issue is having the Residential just that and not separate residential designations. It was the commissions' decision to have just Residential, Commercial and Industrial on the FLUM map.

The next meeting will be August 31, 2009 at 7:00 PM.

Howard moved to adjourn the meeting; Dorn seconded the motion and the motion carried to adjourn the meeting at 7:25 PM.

---

Kathleen Snellback, Chairperson